Thermal Rearrangements, XIX<sup>1)</sup>

# The Kinetics of the Thermal Isomerization of 1-Ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropane

Henning Hopf<sup>\* a</sup>, Gerhard Wachholz<sup>a</sup>, Robin Walsh<sup>\* b</sup>, Armin de Meijere<sup>\* c</sup>, and Stefan Teichmann<sup>c</sup>

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Braunschweig<sup>a</sup>, Hagenring 30, D-3300 Braunschweig

Department of Chemistry, Reading University<sup>b</sup>, Whiteknights, P. O. Box 224, Reading RG6 2AD, England, U.K.

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Hamburg<sup>e</sup>, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, D-2000 Hamburg 13

Received July 28, 1988

Keywords: Cyclopropane, 1-ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethyl- / Isomerization, thermal

1-Ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropane (9) has been prepared and subjected to gas phase pyrolysis. Above 200°C the hydrocarbon rearranges to 4,4,5-trimethyl-1,2,5-hexatriene (10) and 5,6dimethyl-5-hepten-1-yne (11). Whereas the allene is produced directly from 9 by a 1,5-hydrogen shift, the alkyne is a secondary product formed from 10 by a [3,3] sigmatropic rearrangement. The activation parameters ( $E_a$ , lg A) for both processes have been determined for the 210 to 250°C temperature range. The data are discussed and compared with those for other concerted reactions of alkynes and alkenes.

Thermal reactions of acetylenic hydrocarbons have received growing attention in recent years  $^{2-4)}$ , and many studies have shown that these processes are chemically as varied and preparatively as useful as those of their olefinic counterparts<sup>4-6)</sup>. In contrast to these latter systems kinetic data for acetylenic molecules are conspicously lacking<sup>7)</sup>. A comparison between the two classes of hydrocarbons aimed at elucidating the effect caused by replacement of a double bond by the geometrically distinctly different triple bond group is thus hampered. A case in point is provided by *cis*-1-ethenyl-2-methylcyclopropane (1) and *cis*-1-ethynyl-2methylcyclopropane (3), respectively.



## Thermische Umlagerungen, XIX<sup>1)</sup>. – Kinetik der thermischen Isomerisierung von 1-Ethinyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropan

Die Darstellung und Gasphasenpyrolyse von 1-Ethinyl-2,2,3,3tetramethylcyclopropan (9) werden beschrieben. Oberhalb 200°C lagert sich der Kohlenwasserstoff in 4,4,5-Trimethyl-1,2,5-hexatrien (10) und 5,6-Dimethyl-5-hepten-1-in (11) um. Während sich das Allen durch eine 1,5-Wasserstoffwanderung direkt aus 9 bildet, handelt es sich bei dem Alkin um ein Sekundärprodukt, das aus 10 durch eine [3,3]-sigmatrope Umlagerung entsteht. Die Aktivierungsparameter ( $E_a$ , lg A) dieser beiden Prozesse wurden im Temperaturbereich von 210–250°C ermittelt. Die erhaltenen Zahlenwerte werden diskutiert und mit denjenigen anderer konzertierter Reaktionen von Alkinen und Alkenen verglichen.

Whereas the former molecule, which on heating undergoes a homodienyl-1,5-hydrogen shift — leading to cis-1,4hexadiene (2) — has been investigated in great detail<sup>8</sup>, only qualitative results (i.e. a product study) are available for the thermal behavior of 3. In our first experiments we found that the alkyne also suffers a 1,5-hydrogen shift providing 1,2,5-hexatriene (4) as the initial product. The allene undergoes subsequent isomerization reactions<sup>9</sup>, for example a ring closure that begins with the formation of diradical 5 which, in turn, may stabilize itself by 1,2-hydrogen shifts.

Since our initial work<sup>9</sup> the parent molecule has been investigated (qualitative study of its high-temperature gas phase pyrolysis<sup>1</sup>). In addition, the thermal isomerization of various acetylenic epoxides has been developed by Dreiding and co-workers into a valuable method for chain and ring extension<sup>10</sup>). Moreover, as part of an investigation of the trimethylene methane energy surface, Berson and his co-workers have studied briefly the pyrolysis of 1-ethynyl-2,2,3-trimethylcyclopropane<sup>11</sup>). Once again there is good evidence for the occurrence of a 1,5-hydrogen shift.

All this work has been qualitative, and the determination of reliable kinetic data has hence become highly desirable.

We report here a detailed study of the gas phase pyrolysis of 1-ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropane (9). This hy-

Chem. Ber. 122 (1989) 377 - 382 © VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, D-6940 Weinheim, 1989 0009 - 2940/89/0202 - 0377 \$ 02.50/0

drocarbon was selected since it offers some distinct advantages over less methylated cyclopropylacetylenes. A fully methylated derivative may not prevent the occurrence of cis, trans-isomerization reactions - a well-known and thoroughly studied phenomenon in vinylcyclopropane  $pyrolysis^{12}$  – but it makes it "invisible" because of its degenerate nature. A second simplification of the analysis, both kinetically and productwise, is expected to be caused by the methyl groups if the primary allene product of type 4 undergoes a cycloaddition to a diradical like 5. With two methyl substituents in the 3-position 1,2-hydrogen shifts cannot take place, and the intermediate should re-open to its acyclic precursor<sup>13)</sup>. The anticipated low pyrolysis temperatures for the 1,5-hydrogen shift in 9 should have the favorable effect of reducing the danger of side reactions like the well-known thermal oligomerization of allenes<sup>14</sup>).

# Results

# Qualitative Pyrolysis Study, Product Analysis

1-Ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropane (9) was prepared from the adduct 6 of thermally ring-opened tetrachlorocyclopropene and tetramethylethylene<sup>15)</sup>. Treatment of 6 with three equivalents of *n*-butyllithium and hydrolysis of the resulting dilithio derivative<sup>16)</sup> gave a mixture of compounds from which 9 could onyl be separated by tedious vapor-phase chromatography in poor yield (13%). Almost pure material was obtained in three steps by treating 6 with two equivalents of *n*-butyllithium and chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl), lithiating the resulting 7 again with *n*-butyllithium followed by hydrolytic workup<sup>16)</sup>, and subsequent removal of the trimethylsilyl group from  $\mathbf{8}$  with potassium hydroxide in methanol.



For the product study and the kinetic analysis a sample of 9 was purified by preparative vapor-phase chromatography. When a sample of the hydrocarbon was pyrolyzed at 250°C for 1 h in a static system (evacuated ampoule), and the product mixture analyzed by capillary gas chromatography it was found that onyl two products in comparable relative amounts (3:2) had been formed, the material balance being quantitative: 4,4,5-trimethyl-1,2,5-hexatriene (10) and 5,6-dimethyl-5-hepten-1-yne (11). The hydrocarbons were separated by preparative gas chromatography and identified by their spectral data (cf. Experimental Section). Whereas 10 is the expected 1,5-hydrogen shift product, 11 is produced from it by a Cope-type rearrangement. [3,3] Sigmatropic rearrangements between allylallenes and 1,5-hexenynes have been investigated thoroughly by Huntsman and coworkers<sup>17)</sup>, and the above equilibrium (see below) is just



Figure 1. Time dependence of the product composition in the pyrolysis of 9 at 239.8°C

another example in a long row of cases. The NMR spectra of the raw pyrolysate did not contain any indications of the formation of dihydrofulvenes, clearly demonstrating that the methyl substituents had fulfilled their task of preventing 1,2hydrogen shifts.

Kinetic Measurements: These were carried out in a similar manner to previous studies<sup>18)</sup>. Gaseous samples of **9** were prepared highly diluted in SF<sub>6</sub> with the addition of a small amount of butane as an internal standard. These mixtures (master mixtures) were used as the supply for all kinetic runs. Under all conditions (temperatures up to  $320^{\circ}$ C) onyl products **10** and **11** were found, and the mass balance was complete.

Table 1. Time dependence of the product distribution in the pyrolysis of  $\mathbf{9}$  at 239.8 °C

Time [min]	9	Total [%] 10 11		Product [%] 10 11	
6	82.28	17.72	_	100	0
12	67.69	32.31		100	0
20	50.59	44.38	5.02	89.8	10.2
28	37.16	53.05	9.79	84.4	15.6
34	31.38	57.09	11.53	83.2	16.8
45	21.23	63.17	15.60	80.2	19.8

The reaction was studied as a function of time and temperature. Initial pressures (20 Torr) were sufficiently high to avoid any problems of unimolecular "fall-off"<sup>19</sup>. A typical set of results for the time dependence of decomposition is shown in Table 1. It can be seen that there is a steady change in the product distribution with time as well as reactant disappearance. This indicates clearly a consecutive step reaction in which 9 is converted first to 10, and then 10 isomerizes further to 11. There is no indication of a direct pathway from 9 to 11. A graphical presentation of these results is provided by Figure 1.

Similar results were found at all temperatures of this study. For the disappearance of 9 good first-order kinetics were obtained [linear plots of ln (% 9) versus time]. The rate constants derived from these plots are given in Table 3. In order to obtain a more complete picture of these isomerizations, the reaction was studied at higher temperatures, in the range 280 to  $320^{\circ}$ C. Under these conditions 9 was completely decomposed, and only 10 and 11 remained. The results of these experiments are shown in Table 2. It is clear that at temperatures above 290°C the product ratio has reached a steady value, and at 280°C it is very close to steady on the time scale employed. This is strongly supportive of the establishment of the Cope-type equilibrium previously found in many similar systems<sup>17)</sup>. These findings therefore support the following mechanistic scheme:



In order to exploit our measurements to the full extent, we have carried out a kinetic modelling exercise based on the above scheme for the analytical data obtained in the lower temperature range  $(210-250^{\circ}C)$ . The corresponding calculations are based on a variable-step integration program, employing the Gear algorithm<sup>20</sup>. In practice the program requires an initial set of estimates for the rate constants of the scheme. The program then calculates the time evolution of the system, which is compared with the observed data. By successive adjustments of the rate constants the calculations are repeated until the best fit is obtained (as judged by the minimum sum of squares of all deviations). In practice,  $k_1$  values were kept fixed at those already determined, and only  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  were varied; the resulting values are shown in Table 3.

 Table 2. Product distribution in the pyrolysis of 9 at higher temperatures

Time	$T = 280.0^{\circ}C$			$T = 290.0^{\circ} \text{C}$			
[min]	10	11	11/10	10	11	11/10	
20	37.46	62.04	1.66	32.53	67.47	2.07	
30	36.29	63.71	1.76	32.47	67.53	2.08	
40	34.76	65.24	1.88	32.95	67.05	2.03	
$T = 300.0^{\circ}$ C			Ċ	$T = 320.0^{\circ} \text{C}$			
	10	11	11/10	10	11	11/10	
20	35.24	64.66	1.83	33.45	66.65	1.99	
30	_	_	_	35.21	64.79	1.84	
40	33.77	66.23	1.96	35.29	64.71	1.83	

Table 3. Rate constants for the interconversion of 9, 10, and 11

Temp.	104	,	
[°C]	<i>k</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>k</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>k</i> <sub>3</sub>
210.0	$0.653 \pm 0.007$	0.218	0.10
220.0	$1.425 \pm 0.024$	0.496	0.23
230.0	$2.904 \pm 0.036$	0.968	0.46
239.8	$5.784 \pm 0.068$	1.54	0.74
250.0	$11.48 \pm 0.13$	3.83	1.87

The uncertainties in  $k_1$  are the standard deviations of the gradients of least-squares fit to the first-order decay plots. The uncertainties in  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are harder to estimate;  $k_2$  is quite well determined by the fitting procedure, and errors are propably less than  $\pm 2\%$ . However, the results are rather insensitive to  $k_3$ , and this rate constant is probably only obtained to within  $\pm 10\%$ .

In addition to the above measurements, a brief study of the reaction was carried in a reaction vessel packed with pyrex tubes (surface/volume ca. 13 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and previously conditioned with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). The study (at 239.8°C) compared favorably with that in the unpacked vessel. For example, after 20 min the product mixture contained 53.8% 9, 40.7% 10, and 5.6% 11. The analyses suggest a slightly slower rate for  $9 \rightarrow 10$  and a slightly higher one for  $10 \rightarrow 11$ , but were well within the  $\pm$  10% variation typically found in such comparisons. There is no substantial evidence for a heterogeneous contribution to the reaction in the unpacked vessel.

For the temperature dependence of this reacting system the rate constants of Table 3 were fitted by least-squares analysis to the Arrhenius equation, and the resulting Arrhenius activation parameters are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Arrhenius parameters for the isomerization reactions of 9,10, and 11

Reaction	$\lg A [s^{-1}]$	$E_{\rm a}$ [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )]
$9 \rightarrow 10$ $10 \rightarrow 11$ $11 \rightarrow 10$	$\begin{array}{r} 12.07 \ \pm \ 0.07 \\ 10.98 \ \pm \ 0.81 \\ 11.00 \ \pm \ 0.80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 150.3 \ \pm \ 0.6 \ (35.9 \ \pm \ 0.2) \\ 146 \ \pm \ 8 \ \ (34.6 \ \pm \ 1.9) \\ 148 \ \pm \ 8 \ \ (35.3 \ \pm \ 1.8) \end{array}$

In addition to these figures the data of Table 3 and of the higher temperature equilibrium studies may be combined to yield the following thermodynamic quantities:

The error limits may be an underestimate owing to the greater uncertainties in  $k_3$  values at the lower temperatures.

### Discussion

The study presented here reports for the first time kinetic data for a thermal 1,5-hydrogen shift of an alkyne. It is hence interesting to compare the activation parameters with those of structurally related olefins as well as those of other pericyclic alkyne and alkene isomerizations.

As already pointed out in the introduction, the thermal rearrangement of vinylcyclopropanes has been studied very extensively. There is possibly at present no thermal process of an organic compound that has been investigated with more care<sup>4,12)</sup>. Nevertheless, the rearrangement of the tetramethyl derivative corresponding to **9** is unknown. For a comparison of the kinetic parameters we therefore selected 1,1-dimethyl-2-vinylcyclopropane (12) which has been pyrolyzed by Solly and Frey<sup>21)</sup>. The data in Table 5 show no significant difference between **9** and **12**. The slightly higher activation energy for **9** is offset by a larger A factor, although this arises, in part, from the higher path degeneracy for **9**. In terms of relative rates (corrected for path degeneracy) k (**12**)/k (**9**) = 4.9 at 500 K.

As can be seen from Table 5, other structurally and machanistically related thermal reactions of alkenes and alkynes have also very similar parameters. This is shown by Cope rearrangements  $14 \rightarrow 15^{22}$  and  $16 \rightarrow 17^{23}$ , respectively, as well as various fragmentations of the retro-ene type, viz.  $18 \rightarrow 19^{24,25}$  and  $20 \rightarrow 19/21^{26,27}$ , and  $22 \rightarrow 19/23^{7,28}$  compared to  $24 \rightarrow 21/23^{7,28}$ . Such small differences as exist in these other cases tend to favor the alkynes over the alkenes.

The reasons for these similarities have been discussed very thoroughly and extensively by Viola<sup>7</sup>). We agree with him that it is the planarity of the acetylenic transition states that offsets the additional energetic requirement to allow the lin-

Table 5. Arrhenius activation parameters for selected alkene and alkyne thermal isomerizations and fragmentations

Reaction		log A, s <sup>-1</sup>	E <sub>a</sub> , kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> (kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.	
		<b>)</b> 2	11.03	130.5 (31.2)	8)
) 12		13	11.41	140.2 (33.5)	21)
$\rightarrow$		10	12.07	150.3 (35.9)	this work
CD <sub>2</sub>		CD <sub>2</sub>	11.1	148.5 (35.5)	22)
16			11.4	143.9 (34.4)	23)
, н 18	-	2 \19	12.6	240.2 (57.4)	24, 25)
н 20	-	19 · =•= 21	12.7	235.6 (56.3)	26, 27)
о. <sub>н</sub> 22		19 + CH <sub>2</sub> =0 23		170.3 (40.7)	28)
0 <sup>-н</sup> 24		21 + 23	11.2	166.9 (39.9)	28)
		X	Y	H-GC	

ear acetylenic unit to conform to a cyclic transition state. In a planar arrangement *all* participating bond orbitals are centered in that plane thus providing maximum orbital overlap. In other words, the "aromaticity" of the acetylenic transition state is more pronounced than that of its olefinic counterpart. This is illustrated in 25 for a 1-ethenyl-2methyl- and in 26 for a 1-ethynyl-2-methylcyclopropane system. In the former case the six atoms participating in the bond breaking and forming steps almost form a chair-like arrangement. The migrating hydrogen atom can approach the terminal olefinic carbon atom only from a direction nearly orthogonal to the plane of the double bond.

26

25

In 26 with its axially symmetric acetylenic unit, a  $\pi$ -lobe is available for bond formation with the rearranging hydro-

gen atom in any direction, thus allowing the formation of the planar transition state shown.

The surprise really is that these complex and subtle factors should virtually belance one another and cause such a close correspondence in rates. If there is an extra factor in the comparison between 9 and 12 it is the presence of the threemembered ring. Perhaps the small extra rigidity imposed on the transition states makes planarity in 26 slightly more difficult to achieve than in the corresponding transition states for 16, 20, and 24. This would account for the small differential rate effects.

It is clear, however, that the rigidity of the triple bond is once again no hindrance to reaction. There need be no rigidity in the chemist's conception of the geometric properties and possibilities of this highly useful and reactive functional group.

We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and the Riedel-de Haen AG for financial support of this work. The Land Niedersachsen provided a graduate scholarship for G. W.

#### Experimental

General Remarks: NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, int. TMS): Varian T-60, Bruker AM 300, Perkin-Elmer R 32 (90 MHz). - IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): Perkin-Elmer 1420. - MS: MAT CH-7 and MAT 8430. - GC, analytical: Dani, model 3800, 15-m quartz capillary SPB-1; preparative: Carlo Erba Fractovap 2400 V, Varian 920, Intersmat IGC 131 M. - The experimental procedure for carrying out the kinetic experiments has been described in detail in this journal<sup>18</sup>).

#### 1-Ethynyl-2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropane (9)

a) To a solution of 5.0 g (19.2 mmol) of 1-chloro-2,2,3,3-tetramethyl-1-(trichlorovinyl)cyclopropane (6)<sup>15)</sup> in 50 ml of dry diethyl ether was added dropwise with stirring at -78 °C 40 ml (60 mmol) of a 1.5 N solution of *n*-butyllithium in *n*-hexane. After 10 min the mixture was slowly warmed to ambient temp., stirred for 45 min, and cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C again. After addition of 20 ml of water the mixture was warmed to 0°C and further diluted with 50 ml water. The organic phase was separated and washed with two 20-ml portions of water. The combined aqueous phases were extracted with 20 ml of diethyl ether and the combined organic phases dried with magnesium sulfate. The solvents were distilled over a 30-cm column packed with glass helices, the residue was condensed (0.05 Torr, 30 °C) into a cold (-78 °C) flask and the condensate analyzed by GC/MS and GC (Carlo Erba 6 m 10% SE 30 on Chromsorb W-AW-DMCS 60/80 mesh, 70°C). The crude product weighed 1.79 g and contained 53% 9 corresponding to a 43% yield. Preparative scale GC gave 336 mg (13%) of pure 9.

b) To a solution of 650 mg (2.85 mmol) of 1-chloro-2,2,3,3-tetramethyl-1-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]cyclopropane (7)<sup>16)</sup> in 10 ml of dry diethyl ether was added dropwise with stirring at -78 °C 3.4 ml (3.4 mmol) of 1 N n-butyllithium solution in n-hexane. After 15 min at  $-78^{\circ}$ C the mixture was stirred an additional 60 min at ambient temp. then cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and quenched with 188 mg (5.9 mmol) of methanol. The mixture was stirred at room temp. for 1 h, then poured into 50 ml of water, and the aqueous phase extracted with two portions of 50 ml of ether. The combined organic phases were washed with two 20-ml portions of saturated NaCl solution and dried with MgSO4. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was condensed (0.05 Torr,  $30^{\circ}$ C) into a cold ( $-78^{\circ}$ C) flask to yield

541 mg (98%) of crude 2,2,3,3-tetramethyl-1-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]cyclopropane (8).

To the solution of 541 mg of crude 8 in 5 ml of methanol was added a solution of 1.2 g of potassium hydroxide in 10 ml of methanol. The mixture was stirred at ambient temp. for 14 h, then diluted with 100 ml of water and extracted with 5 portions of 50 ml n-pentane. The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was slowly distilled and the residue condensed (0.05 Torr, 30°C) into a cold  $(-78^{\circ}C)$  flask, yield: 269 mg of crude 9, 91% pure according to <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum and GC. The product was purified by preparative scale GC (1.5-m 3/8 "Teflon" tube with 10% SE 54 on Chromosorb W-AW-DMCS 60/80, 60°C) to yield 193 mg (57%) of analytically pure 9. - <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.85$  (d, <sup>4</sup>J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, Cycloprop.-H), 1.15 (s, 12 H, 4 CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.95 (d,  ${}^{4}J = 2.2$  Hz, 1 H, C  $\equiv$  CH). – IR (film):  $\tilde{v} = 3300$  cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\nu H - C \equiv C -)$ , 2990, 2100  $(\nu C \equiv C)$ , 1450, 1380, 1105. – MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 122 (54) [M<sup>+</sup>], 107 (100), 91 (89), 79 (90).

C

Pyrolysis of 9: A sample of 0.30 g (2.5 mmol) of 9 was submitted to a 400-ml ampoule and the latter sealed under high vacuum after several freeze-pump-thaw cycles. After 60 min at 250°C the pyrolysate was dissolved in cyclohexane and the product mixture separated by preparative GC (6-m 20%-Carbowax column on Chromosorb W/AW;  $50-90^{\circ}$ C).

Fraction I (relative proportion 60%): 4,4,5-trimethyl-1,2,4-hexatriene (10), colorless liquid. – IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\tilde{v} = 3090 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (w), 2975 (vs), 2930 (m), 1960 (s), 1638 (m), 1458 (m), 1380 (m), 1157 (m), 892 (s), 870 (m), 846 (s). -  $\,^1\text{H-NMR}$  (300 MHz, CDCl\_3):  $\delta$  = 1.17 (s, 6H, 2 Ch<sub>3</sub>), 1.76 (ps-s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.74 (m, 1H, = CH-), 4.76 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H,  $=C=CH_2$ ), 4.81 (m, 1H, =CH-), 5.12 (t, J = 6.8Hz, 1 H, -CH = C =). - <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100.6 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>/CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta =$ 19.9 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.3 (q, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 39.7 (s, C-4), 76.9 (t, C-1), 93.9 (d, C-3), 109.7 (t, C-6), 151.8 (s, C-5), 207.3 (s, C-2). - MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 122 (2) [M<sup>+</sup>], 107 (100), 105 (11), 91 (53), 83 (17), 79 (31), 77 (14), 55 (51), 53 (15), 41 (43).

> C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub> (122.2) Calcd. C 88.45 H 11.55 Found C 88.12 H 11.69

Fraction II (40%): 5,6-Dimethyl-5-hepten-1-yne (11), colorless liquid. – IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\tilde{v} = 3315$  cm<sup>-1</sup> (vs), 2995 (m), 2920 (w), 2865 (s), 1430 (m), 1373 (m), 925 (w), 630 (s). - <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta = 1.65$  (s, 6 H, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.68 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.93 (t, J = 2.7Hz, 1 H, -C = CH), 2.26 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2 -$ ).  $-{}^{13}C-NMR$  (100.6 MHz,  $C_6D_6/CCl_4$ ):  $\delta = 17.8$  (t, C-5), 18.5, 20.5, 20.9 (q, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>), 33.9 (t, C-4), 68.7 (d, C-7), 84.4 (s, C-6), 125.8, 126.5 (2 x s, C-2, -3). -MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 122 (3) [M<sup>+</sup>], 107 (69), 83 (72), 55 (100), 53 (10), 43 (16), 41 (55).

> C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub> (122.2) Calcd. C 88.45 H 11.55 Found C 88.73 H 11.23

CAS Registry Numbers

6: 72866-04-7 / 7: 80631-32-9 / 8: 103304-21-8 / 9; 103304-20-7 / 10: 116351-18-9 / 11: 116351-19-0

- <sup>1)</sup> Part XVIII: H. Hopf, G. Wachholz, Chem. Ber. 120 (1987) 1259.
- <sup>2)</sup> H. Hopf, Nachr. Chem. Techn. 23 (1975) 253.
   <sup>3)</sup> F. Théron, M. Verny, R. Vessière in The Chemistry of the Carbon-Carbon Triple Bond (The Chemistry of Functional Groups, S. Patai, Ed.), part 1, p. 381, J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester 1978.

<sup>5)</sup> H. M. Frey, R. Walsh, Chem. Rev. 69 (1969) 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> J. J. Gajewski, Hydrocarbon Thermal Isomerizations, Academic Press, New York 1981.

- <sup>6)</sup> G. Desimoni, G. Tacconi, A. Barco, G. P. Pollini, Natural Products. Synthesis Through Pericyclic Reactions, ACS Monograph 180, Washington 1983.
- <sup>7)</sup> An exception are the extensive kinetic studies by Viola and his co-workers on the thermal retro-ene cleavage of  $\beta$ -hydroxyace-tylenes. For a summary of this work see A. Viola, J. J. Collins, N. Filipp, Tetrahedron **37** (1981) 3765. <sup>8)</sup> R. J. Ellis, H. M. Frey, J. Chem. Soc. **1964**, 5578. <sup>9)</sup> V. Dalacker, H. Hopf, Tetrahedron Lett. **1974**, 15.

- <sup>10)</sup> M. Karpf, A. S. Dreiding, Helv. Chim. Acta, 60 (1977) 3045; for a summary of this and related work see M. Karpf, Angew. Chem. 98 (1986) 413; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 25 (1986) 414.
- <sup>11</sup> M. R. Mazur, S. E. Potter, A. R. Pinhas, J. A. Berson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104 (1982) 6823; cf. M. R. Mazur, J. A. Berson, Isr. I. Chem. **26** (1985) 90.
- J. Chem. 20 (1985) 90.
  <sup>12)</sup> Review: T. Hudlicky, T. M. Kutchan, S. M. Naqvi, Organic Reactions, Vol. 33, pp. 247, J. Wiley, New York 1985.
  <sup>13)</sup> For a comparable use of a gem-dimethyl blocking group see W. R. Roth, U. Kowalczik, G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, R. Sustmann, W. Müller, Angew. Chem. 99 (1987) 1330; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 26 (1987) 1285. Int. Ed. Engl. 26 (1987) 1285.
- <sup>14)</sup> H. Hopf in The Chemistry of the Allenes (S. R. Landor, Ed.), Vol. , p. 261, Academic Press, London 1982.
- <sup>15)</sup> W. Weber, A. de Meijere, Chem. Ber. 118 (1985) 2450.

- <sup>16)</sup> General procedure as described previously: T. Liese, A. de Meijere, Chem. Ber. 119 (1986) 2995. 17)
- Summary: W. D. Huntsman in The Chemistry of Ketenes, Allenes, and Related Compounds (The Chemistry of Functional Groups, S. Patai, Ed.), part 2, p. 521, J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester 1980.
- <sup>18)</sup> H. Hopf, G. Wachholz, R. Walsh, Chem. Ber. 118 (1985) 3579.
- <sup>19)</sup> P. J. Robinson, K. A. Holbrook, Unimolecular Reactions, Wiley-Interscience, London 1972
- <sup>20)</sup> C. W. Gear, Information Processing, Vol. 1, p. 187. North Holland, Amsterdam 1968.
- <sup>21)</sup> H. M. Frey, R. K. Solly, unpublished results cited in H. M. Frey, R. Walsh, *Chem. Rev.* **69** (1969) 103. <sup>22)</sup> W. v. E. Doering, V. G. Toscano, G. H. Beasley, *Tetrahedron* **27**
- (1971) 5299.
- <sup>23)</sup> W. D. Huntsman, H. J. Wristers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89 (1967)
- <sup>24)</sup> W. Tsang, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. **10** (1978) 1119. <sup>25)</sup> K. D. King, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. **11** (1979) 1071.
- <sup>26)</sup> W. Tsang, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 10 (1978) 687.
- <sup>27)</sup> K. D. King, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 13 (1981) 273.
   <sup>28)</sup> A. Viola, J. H. McMillan, R. J. Proverb, B. L. Yates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 6967.

[216/88]